## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

## NOTICE OF DOCUMENT DISCREPANCIES AND ORDER THEREON

CASE NO.: 08cv442-L (RBB) CASE TITLE: Needelman v. Pennsylvania Higher Education, etc., et al.

E-FILED DATE: 5/19/2008 DOCKET NO.:9, 11, 12

DOCUMENT TITLE: Motion to Dismiss, Certificate of Service, Request for Judicial Notice

DOCUMENT FILED BY: Def. Key Bank, N.A.

Upon the electronic filing of the above referenced document(s), the following discrepancies are noted:

Upon the electronic filing of the above referenced document(s), the following discrepancies are noted:		
X	Civil Local Rule or Electronic Case Filing Administrative Policies and Procedures Manual provision ("ECF")	Discrepancy
	ECF § 2(h)	Includes a proposed order or requires judge's signature
X	ECF § 2(a), (g)	Docket entry does not accurately reflect the document(s) filed
X	ECF § 2(g)	Multiple pleadings in one docket entry not separated out as attachments - Points and Authorities to be filed as attachment to motion
X	ECF § 2(f)	Lacking proper signature - electronic signature by registered user required.
	Civ. L. Rule 5.1	Missing time and date on motion and/or supporting documentation
	Civ. L. Rule 7.1 or 47.1	Date noticed for hearing not in compliance with rules/Document(s) are not timely
X	Civ. L. Rule 7.1 or 47.1 or Crim. L. Rule 47.1	Lacking memorandum of points and authorities in support as a separate document (see above)
	Civ. L. Rule 7.1 or 47.1	Briefs or memoranda exceed length restrictions
X	Civ. L. Rule 7.1	Missing table of contents
	Civ. L. Rule 15.1	Amended pleading not complete in itself
X	ECF 2(g) ECF 2(k)	OTHER: Supporting documents (11, 12) are to be filed as attachments to motion (9) Exhibits are to be filed as attachments.

## IT IS HEREBY ORDERED:

The document is accepted despite the discrepancy noted above. Any further non-compliant documents may be stricken from the record.

X The document is rejected. It is ordered that the Clerk **STRIKE** the document from the record, and serve a copy of this order on all parties.

Counsel is advised that any further failure to comply with the Local Rules or Electronic Case Filing Administrative Policies and Procedures Manual may lead to penalties pursuant to Civil Local Rule 83.1.

DATED: May 20, 2008

United States District Court Judge

M. James I